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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the eneryg of two curves on different surfaces and strips in type of curvatures of strips at first time. We also observe some characterizations of finding energy of the curves on spherical helix strip by using Terquem Theorem (one of the Joachimsthal Theorems).
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## I. Introduction

The word 'energy' comes from energeia in Greek. First occurred in the studies of Aristoteles in 4th century B.C..

The 'energy' term came from by defining Gottfried Leibniz that was vis viva (live force). Leibniz defined vis viva that is the multiplying matter's mass and its squared velocity.

In 1807, Thomas Young used energy term as meaning of today instead of vis visa that was the first person. GustaveGaspard Coriolis defined kinetic energy in 1829; William Rankine defined potential energy in 1853 as today's meanings.

Energy is not only used by physicists but also by mathematicians. For example in Differential Geometry Horn found the curve which passes through two specified points with specified orientation while minimizing.
$\varepsilon=\int \kappa^{2} d s$
In this formulation $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ is the curvature and s the arc distance. Horn found interesting applications in 1983. He applied and introduced the energy with Differential Geometry at first. In a thin beam, curvature at a point is proportional to the bending moment [11,12]. The total elastic energy stored in a thin beam is therefore proportional to the integral of the square of the curvature $[11,12]$. The shape taken on by a thin beam is the one which minimizes its internal strain energy. This is why we call the curve sought here the minimum energy curve. A thin metal or wooden strip used by a draftsman to smoothly connect a number of points is called a spline $[11,12]$. Such splines are used in creating lofted surfaces from plane.

In addition of the finding energy of the curve, Horn found a semicircle, two circular arcs, the best ellipse, three, four, five,
six and more arcs. So Horn's paper provides to obtain this new knowledge and there are conservation laws in physics.

In this paper, the energy of curves $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ is investigated by its curvatures of the strips and find some relations and characterizations between $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ on Joachimsthal Theorems at first time like Horn's method.

## II. Preliminaries

We now review some basic concepts on classical differential geometry of space curves in Euclidean space, general helix and slant helix. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow R^{3}$ be a curve $\alpha^{\prime}(s) \neq 0$ where $T(s)=\alpha^{\prime}(s)$ is a unit tangent vector of $\alpha$ at s and $M$ be a surface in Euclidean 3-space. We define a surface element of $M$ is the part of a tangent plane at the neighbour of the point. The locus of the these surface element along the curve is called a curve-surface pair as shown $(\alpha, M)$. We study this Euclidean Space, may study in Minkowski space and rotational surfaces. See more details in [7, 13].

## A. The Curve-Surface Pair (Strip)

Definition: Let $M$ and $\alpha$ be a surface in $E^{3}$ and a curve in $M \subset E^{3}$. We define a surface element of $M$ is the part of a tangent plane at the neighbour of the point. The locus of these surface element along the curve $\alpha$ is called a curve-surface pair and is shown as $(\alpha, M)$.

Definition: Let $\{\vec{t}, \vec{n}, \vec{b}\}$ and $\{\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\zeta}\}$ be the curve and curve-surface pair's vector fields. The curve-surface pair's tangent vector field, normal vector field and binormal vector field is given by $\vec{t}=\vec{\xi}, \vec{\zeta}=\vec{N}=(\vec{N}=\vec{n})$ and $\vec{\eta}=\vec{\zeta} \wedge \vec{\xi}$ ([1-6,810]).

1) Curvatures of the Curve-Surface Pair and Curvatures of the Curve

Let $k_{n}=-b, k_{g}=c, t_{r}=a$ and $\{\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\zeta}\}$ be the normal curvature, the geodesic curvature, the geodesic torsion of the strip and the curve-surface pair's vector fields on $\alpha$ [1-6,9,10].

Then we have:
$\vec{\xi}=c \vec{\eta}-b \vec{\zeta}$
$\vec{\eta}=-c \vec{\xi}+a \vec{\zeta}$
$\vec{\zeta}=b \vec{\xi}-a \vec{\eta}$
We know that a curve $\alpha$ has two curvatures $\kappa$ and $\tau$. A curve has a strip and a strip has three curvatures $k_{n}, k_{g}$ and $t_{r}$.

Let $k_{n}, k_{g}$ and $t_{r}$ be the $-b, c$ and $a$. From last equations we have $\vec{\xi}=c \vec{\eta}-b \vec{\zeta}$. If we substitude $\vec{\xi}=\vec{t}$ in last equation, we obtain
$\vec{\xi}=\kappa \vec{n}$
and
$b=-\kappa \sin \varphi$
$c=\kappa \cos \varphi$
([2-6,9,10]) From last two equations we obtain:
$\kappa^{2}=b^{2}+c^{2}$
This equation is a relation between the curvature $\kappa$ of a curve $\alpha$ and normal curvature and geodesic curvature of a curve-surface pair.

By using similar operations, we obtain a new equation as follows
$\tau=a+\frac{b^{\prime} c-b c^{\prime}}{b^{2}+c^{2}}$
([2-6,9,10]). This equation is a relation between $\tau$ (torsion or second curvature of $\alpha$ and curvatures of a curve-surface pair that belongs to the curve $\alpha$ ). And also we can write

$$
a=\varphi^{\prime}+\tau
$$

The special case:
If $\varphi$ is constant, then $\varphi^{\prime}=0$. So the equation is $a=\tau$. That is, if the angle is constant, then torsion of the curvesurface pair is equal to torsion of the curve.

Definition: Let $\alpha$ be a curve in $M \subset E^{3}$. If the geodesic curvature (torsion) of the curve $\alpha$ is equal to zero, then the curve-surface pair $(\alpha, M)$ is called a curvature curve-surface pair (strip) ([2-6,9,10]).

## III. General Helix

Definition: Let $\alpha$ be a curve in $E^{3}$ and $V_{1}$ be the first Frenet vector field of $\alpha \cdot U \in \chi\left(E^{3}\right)$ be a constant unit vector field.

If:
$\left\langle V_{1}, U\right\rangle=\cos \phi$ (Constant)
$\alpha, \varphi$ and $S p\{U\}$ are called a general helix, the slope and the slope axis ( $[1,2,6]$ ).

Definition: A regular curve is called a general helix if its first and second curvatures $\kappa$ and $\tau$ are not constant but $\frac{\kappa}{\tau}$ is constant $([1,6])$.

Definition: A curve is called a general helix or cylindrical helix it its tangent makes a constant angle with a fixed line in space. A curve is a general helix if and only if the ratio $\frac{\kappa}{\tau}$ is constant $([5,9,12])$.

Definition: A helix is a curve in 3-dimensional space. The following parameterization in Cartesian coordinates defines a helix, see [7].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(t)=\cos t \\
& y(t)=\sin t \\
& z(t)=t
\end{aligned}
$$

As the parameter $t$ increases $(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ traces a righthanded helix of pitch $2 \pi$ and Radius 1 about the $z$ axis, in a right-handed coordinate system. In cylindrical coordinates ( $r, \theta, h$ ) the same helix is parameterized by
$r(t)=1$,
$\theta(t)=t$,
$h(t)=t$
Definition: If the curve $\alpha$ is a general helix, the ratio of the first curvature of the curve to the torsion of the curve must be the constant. The ratio $\frac{\tau}{\kappa}$ is called first harmonic curvature of the curve and is denoted by $H_{1}$ or $H$.

Theorem 3.1: A regular curve $\alpha \subset E^{3}$ is a general helix if and only if $H(s)=\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}=$ const for $\forall s \in I$, see [7].

Proof: $(\Rightarrow)$ Let $\alpha$ be a general helix. The slope axis of the curve $\alpha$ is showed $\operatorname{Sp}\{U\}$. Note that
$\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(s), U\right\rangle=\cos \varphi=$ const .
If the Frenet Threshold is $V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}$ at the point $\alpha(s)$, then we have

$$
\left\langle V_{1}(s), U\right\rangle=\cos \varphi
$$

If we take derivative of the both sides of the last equation, then we have
$\left\langle k_{1} V_{2}(s), U\right\rangle=0 \Rightarrow\left\langle V_{2}(s), U\right\rangle=0$.

Hence
$U \in S p\left\{V_{1}(s), V_{3}(s)\right\}$.
Therefore
$U=\cos \varphi V_{1}(s)+\sin \varphi V_{3}(s)$.
$U$ is the linear combination of $V_{1}(s)$ and $V_{3}(s)$. By differentiating the equation $\left\langle V_{2}(s), U\right\rangle=0$, we obtain
$\left\langle-k_{1} V_{1}(s)+k_{2} V_{3}(s), U\right\rangle=0$,
$-k_{1}(s)\left\langle V_{1}(s), U\right\rangle+k_{2}(s)\left\langle V_{3}(s), U\right\rangle=0$,
$-k_{1}(s) \cos \phi+-k_{2}(s) \sin \phi=0$
By using the last equation, we see that

## $H=$ const .

$(\Leftarrow)$ Let $H(s)$ be constant for $\forall s \in I$, and $\lambda=\tan \phi$, then we obtain
$U=\cos \phi V_{1}(s)+\sin \phi V_{3}(s)$
If $U$ is a constant vector, then we have

$$
D_{\alpha} U=\left(k_{1}(s) \cos \phi-\sin \phi k_{2}(s)\right) V_{2}(s)
$$

By substituting $H(s)=\tan \varphi$ is in the last equation, we see that
$k_{1}(s) \cos \phi-k_{2} \sin \phi=0$
and so
$U=$ const .
If $\alpha$ is an inclined curve with the slope axis $\operatorname{Sp}\{U\}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(s), U\right\rangle & =\left\langle V_{1}(s), \cos \phi V_{1}(s)+\sin \phi V_{3}(s)\right\rangle \\
& =\cos \phi\left\langle V_{1}(s), V_{1}(s)\right\rangle+\sin \phi\left\langle V_{1}(s), V_{3}(s)\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

and we obtain
$\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(s), U\right\rangle=\cos \varphi=$ const
([7]).
Definition: Let $S^{2}$ and $\alpha$ be a sphere in $E^{3}$ and a helix that lies on the sphere $S^{2}$. The curve $\alpha$ is called a spherical helix which lies on the sphere [12].

Definition: Let $\alpha$ be a helix in $M \subset E^{3}$. We define a surface element of $M$ is the part of a tangent plane at the neighbour of the point of the helix that lie on $M$. Instead of the geometric plane of these surface elements along the helix $\alpha$ which lie sphere $M$ is called a helix strip.

Definition: Let $S^{2}$ be a sphere and and $\alpha$ a helix which lie on $S^{2}$ in $E^{3}$. We define a surface element $S^{2}$ is the part of a tangent plane at the neighbour of the point of the helix that lie
on $S^{2}$. The locus of these surface elements along the helix $\alpha$ which lie on the sphere $S^{2}$ is called spherical helix strip.

## IV. FINDING ENERGY OF THE STRIP BY USING ITS CURVATURES

In this section we find energy of the strip by using its curvatures $k_{n}, k_{g}$ and $t_{r}$.

We know that a strip has three curvatures $k_{n}=-b, k_{g}=c, t_{r}=a$ be the normal curvature, geodesic curvature, geodesic torsion of the curve-surface pair [1,2,3,5,6,8]. We can find the energy of the strip by using its two curvatures $k_{n}=-b, k_{g}=c$,

$$
\varepsilon_{n}=\int k_{n}^{2} d s
$$

or
$\varepsilon_{g}=\int k_{g}{ }^{2} d s$
( $\alpha, M$ ) $\subset E^{3}$ is a strip, so we have its energies
$\varepsilon_{n}=k_{n}{ }^{2} s+l$
$\varepsilon_{n}=-b^{2} s+l$
or
$\varepsilon_{g}=k_{g}{ }^{2} s+l$
$\varepsilon_{g}=c^{2} s+l$.
Theorem 4.1. (Terquem Theorem) Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be the different surfaces in $E^{3}$ and $\alpha$ be a curve but not a planar curve and $\beta$ be a curve in $M_{2}$.
i. The points of the curves $\alpha$ ve $\beta$ corresponds to each other $1: 1$ on a plane $\varepsilon$ which rolls on the $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, such that the distance is constant between corresponding points.
ii. $\left(\alpha, M_{1}\right)$ is a curvature strip.
iii. $\left(\beta, M_{2}\right)$ is a curvature strip.

Proof. Claim: Two of the three lemmas gives third ([10]). It is obviously from the Phd. thesis by Keles.

By applying the similar way in proof of the Theorem 3.1 in [10] to the strip of the spherical helix strip, we give the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. (Joachimsthal Theorem) Let $S^{2}$ be a sphere and and $M$ be a surface in $E^{3}$. Let the tangent planes of the surface $M$ that along the curve $\beta$ be the tangent planes of the sphere $S^{2}$ along the helix curve $\alpha$ at the same time. In this
case, if we find the energy of the strip $(\beta, M)$, the curve $\beta$ is a helix, also a helix strip. If we find the energy of the
curve $\alpha$ on the spherical helix strip ( $S^{2}, M$ ), we can find the energy of the curve $\beta$ on $(\beta, M)$ in type of the curvatures of the $(\beta, M)$ and give a characterization.

Proof:


Figure 1.

Now Keles's proof help us to obtain the energy of the strip.
If the curve $\alpha$ is a helix on $S^{2}$, then it provides $\kappa_{1} / \tau_{1}$ is constant. We have to show that $\beta$ is a helix strip on $M$, that is, $\frac{\kappa_{2}}{\tau_{2}}$ is constant. $\tau_{2}$

By the Figure, we have
$\beta\left(s_{1}\right)=\alpha\left(s_{1}\right)+\lambda\left(s_{1}\right) \vec{v}\left(s_{1}\right)$
where
$\alpha\left(s_{1}\right)=\vec{m}+r \zeta_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)$
By differentiating both sides of (3), we see that $\vec{\xi}_{1}=\frac{d \alpha_{1}}{d s_{1}}=r \frac{d \zeta_{1}}{d s_{1}}$.

By (1),
$\vec{\xi}_{1}=r\left(b_{1} \xi_{1}-a_{1} \eta_{1}\right)$,
We obtain $a_{1}=0$ and $b_{1}=1$.
$r$ is the radius of the sphere. We denote $r=1$. Since $\vec{m}$ is a position vector that goes to the center of the sphere, $\vec{m}$ is constant.

Since $\alpha_{1}=0,\left(\alpha, S^{2}\right)$ is a curvature strip. By the strips $\left(\alpha, S^{2}\right)$ and $(\beta, M)$ are curvature strips and by the Terquem

Theorem, we see that $\lambda$ is non-zero constant. Let $\vec{v}\left(s_{1}\right)$ be a vector in $\operatorname{Sp}\left\{\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}\right\}$, and let $\varphi$ be the angle between $\vec{\xi}_{1}$ and $\vec{v}\left(s_{1}\right)$. Then we write
$v\left(\vec{s}_{1}\right)=\cos \varphi \vec{\xi}_{1}+\sin \varphi \vec{\eta}$
By substituting (3) and (4) in (2), and differentiating both sides, we obtain (5).
$\frac{d \beta}{d s_{1}}=\frac{d \vec{m}}{d s_{1}}+\frac{d \vec{\zeta}_{1}}{d s_{1}}+\frac{d \lambda}{d s_{1}}\left(\cos \varphi \vec{\xi}_{1}+\sin \vec{\eta}_{1}\right)+\lambda\left(s_{1}\right) \frac{d\left(\cos \varphi \vec{\xi}_{1}+\sin \varphi \vec{\eta}_{1}\right)}{d s_{1}}$
Since the vector $\vec{m}$ and $\lambda$ are constant, we obtain the following equation
$\frac{d \beta}{d s_{1}}=\frac{d \vec{\zeta}_{1}}{d s_{1}}+\lambda\left(s_{1}\right) \frac{d\left(\cos \varphi \vec{\xi}_{1}+\sin \varphi \vec{\eta}_{1}\right)}{d s_{1}}$
or
$\left.\frac{d \beta}{d s_{1}}=\frac{d \vec{\zeta}_{1}}{d s_{1}}+\lambda\left(s_{1}\right)\left(-\frac{d \varphi}{d s_{1}} \sin \varphi \vec{\xi}+\cos \varphi \frac{d \xi_{1}}{d s_{1}}\right)+\frac{d \varphi}{d s_{1}} \cos \varphi \vec{\eta}_{1}+\sin \varphi \frac{d \vec{\eta}_{1}}{d s_{1}}\right)$.
By (1), we obtain
$\frac{d \beta}{d s_{1}}=\left[1-\lambda\left(\frac{d \varphi}{d s_{1}}+c_{1}\right) \sin \phi\right] \vec{\xi}_{1}+\lambda\left(\frac{d \phi}{d s_{1}}+c_{1}\right) \cos \phi \overrightarrow{\eta_{1}}-\lambda \cos \phi \vec{\zeta}_{1}$
Since the spherical helix and the surface $M$ have the same tangent plane along the curves $\alpha$ and $\beta$, we can write
$\left\langle\frac{d \beta}{d s_{1}}, \vec{\zeta}_{1}\right\rangle=0$
By substituting (6) at the last equation, we obtain $\cos \varphi=0$. By using that equation in (6), we have
$\frac{d \beta}{d s_{1}}=\left(1 \pm \lambda c_{1}\right) \vec{\xi}_{1}$
If we calculate the second and third derivatives of the curve $\beta$, then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{2} \beta}{d s^{2}{ }_{1}} & =\lambda c_{1}^{\prime} \vec{\xi}_{1}+\left(1 \mp \lambda c_{1}\right) c_{1} \vec{\eta}_{1}-\left(1 \mp \lambda c_{1}\right) \vec{\zeta}_{1} \\
\frac{d^{3} \beta}{d s_{1}^{3}} & =\left[\mp \lambda c^{\prime \prime}-\left(1 \mp \lambda c_{1}\right) c_{1}^{2}-\left(1 \mp \lambda c_{1}\right)\right] \vec{\xi}_{1}+ \\
& {\left[\mp \lambda c_{1} c_{1}^{\prime} \mp \lambda c_{1} c_{1}^{\prime}+\left(1 \mp \lambda c_{1}\right) c_{1}^{\prime}\right] \vec{\eta}_{1}+\left(\mp \lambda c_{1}^{\prime} \mp \lambda c_{1}^{\prime}\right) \vec{\zeta}_{1} }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the same result is obtained by using other form of (7), we use the form $\frac{d \beta}{d s_{1}}=\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right) \vec{\xi}_{1}$ of (7) at the rest of our proof. By differentiating both sides of (7), we obtain
$\frac{d \beta}{d s_{1}}=\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right) \vec{\xi}_{1}$
$\frac{d^{2} \beta}{d s^{2}{ }_{1}}=-\lambda c_{1}^{\prime} \vec{\xi}_{1}+\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right) c_{1} \vec{\eta}_{1}-\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right) \vec{\zeta}_{1}$
$\frac{d^{3} \beta}{d s_{1}{ }^{3}}=\left[-\lambda c^{\prime \prime}-\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right) c_{1}{ }^{2}-\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right)\right] \vec{\xi}_{1}+\left[3 \lambda c_{1} c_{1}{ }^{\prime}+c_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right] \vec{\eta}_{1}+\left(2 \lambda c_{1}\right) \vec{\zeta}_{1}$
By applying Gram-Schmidt to the $\left\{\beta^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\}$, then we have
$F_{1}=\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right) \vec{\xi}_{1}$
$F_{2}=\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right) c_{1} \vec{\eta}_{1}-\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right) \vec{\zeta}_{1}$
$F_{3}=\frac{\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right) c_{1}^{\prime}}{c_{1}{ }^{2}+1} \vec{\eta}_{1}+\frac{\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right) c_{1}{ }^{\prime} c_{1}}{c_{1}{ }^{2}+1} \vec{\zeta}_{1}$.
By [10], we have
$\kappa_{1}^{2}=b_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{2}, b_{1}=1$
and
$\tau_{1}^{2}=-a_{1}+\frac{b_{1} c_{1}-b_{1} c_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{b_{1}{ }^{2}+c_{1}{ }^{2}}, a_{1}=0$
By (8) and (9), we see that
$\tau_{1}=\frac{-c_{1}^{\prime}}{\kappa_{1}^{2}}$
By using (10) in $F_{3}$, we obtain
$F_{3}=-\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right) \tau_{1} \vec{\eta}_{1}-\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right) \tau_{1} \vec{\zeta}_{1}$.
If we calculate $\kappa_{2}$ and $\tau_{2}$, then we have
$\kappa_{2}=\frac{\kappa_{1}}{\left|1-\lambda c_{1}\right|}$
and
$\tau_{2}=\frac{\tau_{1}}{\left|1-\lambda c_{1}\right|}$
Dividing by $\kappa_{2}$ to ${ }^{\tau_{2}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa_{2}}{\tau_{2}}=\frac{\kappa_{1}}{\tau_{1}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\kappa_{2}=\frac{\tau_{2}}{\tau_{1}} \kappa_{1}$
$\kappa_{2}=\sqrt{b_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{2}} \frac{\frac{\tau_{1}}{\left|1-\lambda c_{1}\right|}}{\tau_{1}}$
$\kappa_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{b_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{2}}}{\left|1-\lambda c_{1}\right|}$
$\kappa_{2}^{2}=\frac{1+c_{1}^{2}}{\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right)^{2}}$
So we have
$1+c_{1}^{2}=\kappa_{2}^{2}\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right)^{2}$
We will use these equations for finding energy of the strip on Joachimsthal theorem by using its curvatures.

Corollary 4.3. Now we can find the energy of $\alpha$ on ( $S^{2}$, $M$ ) and a relation between $\beta$ on $(\beta, M)$.

Let $\varepsilon_{1}$ the energy of the curve $\alpha$. If we use the energy formulae and from (8), we calculate $\varepsilon_{1}$ :
$\varepsilon_{1}=\int \kappa_{1}^{2} d s$
$=\int\left(b_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{2}\right) d s$
$=\int\left(1+c_{1}^{2}\right) d s$
$=\left(1+c_{1}^{2}\right) s+l$
Then we can find $\varepsilon_{1}$ in type of the curvature $\kappa_{2}$ of the curve $\beta$ by using Terquem theorem and we have
$\varepsilon_{1}=\kappa_{2}^{2}\left(1-\lambda c_{1}^{2}\right) s+l$
Let $\varepsilon_{2}$ the energy of the curve $\beta$ by using its curvature $\kappa_{2}$ and the curvatures and $b_{2}$ and $c_{2}$ of the strip. In actually we should write $\varepsilon_{2}$ :
$\varepsilon_{2}=\int \kappa_{2}^{2} d s$
$=\int\left(b_{2}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\right) d s$
$=\left(b_{2}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\right) s+l$
Also we obtain from the proof of the theorem $\varepsilon_{2}$,
$\varepsilon_{2}=\int \kappa_{2}{ }^{2} d s$
$=\kappa_{2}^{2} s+l$
$=\int \frac{1+c_{1}^{2}}{\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right)^{2}} s+l$
we have
$\kappa_{2}^{2}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right)^{2} s}+l$
So we obtain
$\varepsilon_{2}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right)^{2} s} s+l$
$\varepsilon_{2}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\left(1-\lambda c_{1}\right)^{2}}+l$
We obtain a characterization on finding energies of the strip and a curve.
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